

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/939,717	08/28/2001	Pasi Lahti	108347-00009	2909
32294 75	90 04/18/2006	EXAMINER		
SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P.			ABRISHAMKAR, KAVEH	
14TH FLOOR 8000 TOWERS CRESCENT TYSONS CORNER, VA 22182			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2131	

DATE MAILED: 04/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Tage 1 - 1 - 1 - 1				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Comments of the comments of th	09/939,717	LAHTI ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Kaveh Abrishamkar	2131		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ja 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-13 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	vn from consideration.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of the correction of th	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
·—	ammer. Note the attached Office	Action of form F 10-132.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:			

Art Unit: 2131

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to the communication filed on January 30, 2006.
 Claims 1-7 and 9-13 are currently pending consideration.

Response to Arguments

2. The evidence submitted antedating the Kouznetsov reference is sufficient, and the Kouznetsov reference is removed from the previous rejection, and a new art rejection is presented below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 6-7, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shetty et al. (U.S. Patent 6,799,197).

Regarding claim 1, Shetty discloses:

Art Unit: 2131

A method of updating a virus signature database used by anti-virus software operating on a mobile wireless platform, comprising:

sending update data via a signaling channel of a mobile telecommunications network to the mobile wireless platform (column 3 lines 45-55, column 9 line 67 – column 10 line 18, column 10 line 43-67, column 12 lines 1-6), wherein the Wireless Access Protocol (WAP) can be used to update mobile clients (laptops and PDAs) with virus signatures and software; and

sending virus update requests to a network server to identify to the network server updates required by the mobile wireless platform (column 10 lines 42-67), wherein each client posts its configuration to the server at regular intervals, and when the software is not up-to-date, the virus updates are sent to each client.

Claim 2 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore, Shetty discloses:

A method according to claim 1, wherein the update data sent to the mobile wireless platform is a virus signature database update (column 10 lines 42-67).

Claim 3 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore, Shetty discloses:

A method as claimed in claim 1, herein the network is GSM or enhanced GSM network (column 3 lines 45-55).

Art Unit: 2131

Claim 6 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore, Shetty discloses:

A method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the message carrying the update data is cryptographically signed (column 5 lines 4-15), wherein the agent and the servers employ digital signature technology to ensure that the items were not altered since they were transmitted.

Claim 7 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore, Shetty discloses:

A method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the mobile platform comprises a mobile telephone, communicator, PDS, palmtop, or laptop computer (column 3 lines 50-55).

Claim 9 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore, Shetty discloses:

A method as claimed in claim 1, wherein said request identifies the current status of a virus signature database (column 10 lines 42-67), wherein each client posts its configuration to the server at regular intervals, and when the software is not up-to-date, the virus updates are sent to each client.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 4. Shetty et al. (U.S. Patent 6,799,197) in view Lohtia et al. (U.S. Patent 6,560,456).

Claim 4 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Shetty does not explicitly disclose that the update messages are carried by one or more Short Message Service (SMS) messages. Lohtia discloses that SMS messages can be used for a user to request an update of its database (column 2lines 20-22), and the server will locate the necessary update and sends it to the user (column 9 lines 4-22). These updates can occur over SS7 links or any other well-known telecommunications links (column 7 lines 42-57). Shetty can use wireless protocols to transmit the virus updates, and in one embodiment, use e-mails to transmit the virus updates (Shetty: column 2 lines 50-53), and Lohtia states that SMS can be used to send emails (Lohtia: column 1 lines 26-31). Therefore, it would have been obvious that SMS messaging system taught by Lohtia can be used to send the virus updates of Shetty so that wireless subscribers are provided with the "capability to request particular types of messages at any time" (Lohtia: column 1 lines 37-39), "without requiring an update or modification to the existing Mobile Switching Center (MSC) software" (Lohtia: column 1 lines 40-42).

Regarding claim 10, Shetty discloses:

A method of protecting a wireless device against viruses, comprising:

Art Unit: 2131

maintaining a database of virus signatures (column 10 lines 42-67); searching for virus signatures contained in the database (column 10 lines 42-67), wherein each client posts its configuration to the server at regular intervals, and when the software is not up-to-date, the virus updates are sent to each client;

sending virus update requests to a network server to identify to the network server updates required by the mobile wireless platform (column 10 lines 42-67), wherein each client posts its configuration to the server at regular intervals, and when the software is not up-to-date, the virus updates are sent to each client.

Shetty does not explicitly disclose that the update messages are carried by one or more Short Message Service (SMS) messages. Lohtia discloses that SMS messages can be used for a user to request an update of its database (column 2lines 20-22), and the server will locate the necessary update and sends it to the user (column 9 lines 4-22). These updates can occur over SS7 links or any other well-known telecommunications links (column 7 lines 42-57). Shetty can use wireless protocols to transmit the virus updates, and in one embodiment, use e-mails to transmit the virus updates (Shetty: column 2 lines 50-53), and Lohtia states that SMS can be used to send emails (Lohtia: column 1 lines 26-31). Therefore, it would have been obvious that SMS messaging system taught by Lohtia can be used to send the virus updates of Shetty so that wireless subscribers are provided with the "capability to request particular types of messages at any time" (Lohtia: column 1 lines 37-39), "without requiring an

Art Unit: 2131

update or modification to the existing Mobile Switching Center (MSC) software" (Lohtia: column 1 lines 40-42).

5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shetty et al. (U.S. Patent 6,799,197) in view of Clapton et al. (U.S. Patent 6,192,237).

Claim 3 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Shetty does not explicitly disclose using Unstructured Supplementary Services Data (USSD) messages to carried the update data. Clapton discloses a system wherein in mobile units (mobile telephones) can send and retrieve data via Unstructured Supplementary Services Data (USSD) messages messages on a signaling channel on a GSM network (column 5 line 47 - column 6 line 13). Shetty and Clapton are analogous arts because both use wireless protocols to communicate data from servers to mobile clients. Clapton discloses that the USSD capability allows "supplementary service control between a terminal and its home network" (column 2 lines 26-28) and enables "operators to introduce their own special service offerings" (column 2 lines 30-35), "without the need to modify the mobile station" (column 2 lines 33-36). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the USSD capability present in wireless networks (GSM) to allow the operator to send special service offerings (virus updates) to the mobile station without the need to modify the mobile station (Clapton: column 2 lines 25-40).

Art Unit: 2131

6. Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shetty et al. (U.S. Patent 6,799,197) in view of Lohtia et al. (U.S. Patent 6,560,456) in further in view of Latva-Aho (U.S. Patent Publication US 2002/0168111 A1).

A method for a mobile wireless platform, comprising:

sending a message from a mobile station to an anti-virus server, wherein the message indicates virus signatures stored in the mobile station (column 10 lines 42-67), wherein each client posts its configuration to the server at regular intervals, and when the software is not up-to-date, the virus updates are sent to each client;

in response to the message from the mobile station, generating return messages at the anti-virus server including virus signatures different from the virus signatures stored in the mobile station (column 3 lines 45-55, column 9 line 67 – column 10 line 18, column 10 line 43-67, column 12 lines 1-6), wherein the Wireless Access Protocol (WAP) can be used to update mobile clients (laptops and PDAs) with virus signatures and software;

sending the return messages from the anti-virus server to the mobile station to update the virus signatures in the mobile station (column 3 lines 45-55, column 9 line 67 – column 10 line 18, column 10 line 43-67, column 12 lines 1-6), wherein the Wireless Access Protocol (WAP) can be used to update mobile clients (laptops and PDAs) with virus signatures and software.

Shetty does not explicitly disclose that the update messages are carried by one or more Short Message Service (SMS) messages. Lohtia discloses that SMS messages can be used for a user to request an update of its database (column 2lines

Art Unit: 2131

20-22), and the server will locate the necessary update and sends it to the user (column 9 lines 4-22). These updates can occur over SS7 links or any other well-known telecommunications links (column 7 lines 42-57). Shetty can use wireless protocols to transmit the virus updates, and in one embodiment, use e-mails to transmit the virus updates (Shetty: column 2 lines 50-53), and Lohtia states that SMS can be used to send emails (Lohtia: column 1 lines 26-31). Therefore, it would have been obvious that SMS messaging system taught by Lohtia can be used to send the virus updates of Shetty so that wireless subscribers are provided with the "capability to request particular types of messages at any time" (Lohtia: column 1 lines 37-39), "without requiring an update or modification to the existing Mobile Switching Center (MSC) software" (Lohtia: column 1 lines 40-42). The Shetty-Lohtia combination does not teach using "concatenated" return messages to send virus signatures updates. Latva-Aha uses concatenated Short messages (C-SMS) to transmit image data over a mobile communications network (paragraph 53). Latva-Aha is analogous art to the system of Shetty-Lohtia as both systems transmit data using wireless communications means including using SMS over a mobile communications network. It would have been obvious to use concatenated SMS messages to transmit the virus signatures, because as stated in Shetty, the updates are frequently in the form of DAT files (column 8 lines 31-38). Therefore, if there are a plurality of virus signatures that need to be updated, a single SMS message cannot hold the information. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the concatenated SMS messages of Latva-Aha to transmit the updated virus signatures to

Art Unit: 2131

the mobile station "in order to transmit a larger amount of information" (Latva-Aha:

paragraph 52).

Claim 12 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 11. Shetty does not explicitly disclose initiating a virus signatures update by the anti-virus server by sending a short message service (SMS) request to the mobile station notifying of the virus signatures discovered since last update. Lohtia discloses sending an SMS origination message to act as a trigger to cause information (updates) to be sent via SMS to the wireless device (column 2 lines 17-22). Shetty and Lohtia are analogous arts as both use wireless transmission means to update mobile devices with information. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use an SMS message as a trigger as disclosed by Lohtia so that wireless subscribers are provided with "the capability to request particular types of SMS messages at any time" (Lohtia: column 1 lines 39-42), "without requiring an update or modification to the existing Mobile Switching Center (MSC) software" (Lohtia: column 1 lines 39-42).

Claim 13 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 11. Furthermore, Shetty discloses:

sending a request from the anti-virus server to the mobile station only when the virus signatures stored in the mobile station are not the same as the virus signatures in the anti-virus server (column 10 lines 42-67), wherein each client posts its configuration

Application/Control Number: 09/939,717 Page 11

Art Unit: 2131

to the server at regular intervals, and when the software is not up-to-date, the virus updates are sent to each client.

Shetty does not explicitly disclose that the update messages are carried by one or more Short Message Service (SMS) messages. Lohtia discloses that SMS messages can be used for a user to request an update of its database (column 2lines 20-22), and the server will locate the necessary update and sends it to the user (column 9 lines 4-22). These updates can occur over SS7 links or any other well-known telecommunications links (column 7 lines 42-57). Shetty can use wireless protocols to transmit the virus updates, and in one embodiment, use e-mails to transmit the virus updates (Shetty: column 2 lines 50-53), and Lohtia states that SMS can be used to send emails (Lohtia: column 1 lines 26-31). Therefore, it would have been obvious that SMS messaging system taught by Lohtia can be used to send the virus updates of Shetty so that wireless subscribers are provided with the "capability to request particular types of messages at any time" (Lohtia: column 1 lines 37-39), "without requiring an update or modification to the existing Mobile Switching Center (MSC) software" (Lohtia: column 1 lines 40-42).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kaveh Abrishamkar whose telephone number is 571-272-3786. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 8-5.

Art Unit: 2131

Page 12

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KA 04/13/2006

CHRISTOPHER REVAK PRIMARY EXAMINER